

29th November 1928].

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II

A BILL TO AMEND THE MADRAS UNIVERSITY ACT, 1923.

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“The Council will now resume consideration of the Madras University (Amending) Bill.”

12-15
p.m.

Clause 24.

* Mr. J. A. SALDANHA :—“Sir, I want to urge the importance of including the faculty of comparative religion, veterinary science and technology and with this object I moved my amendment the other day. Comparative religion deals not only with the highest religions, but also with primitive religions. Even the aboriginal tribes have got some kind of religion. To say that they have no religion is certainly incorrect. There is religion among animists who fancy that all the objects of nature possess a spiritual life or vitality. So, it will be very interesting to study the sub-strata of religions. In Europe, America and Japan they have got in several of their universities, faculties for the study of the science of comparative religion of the world. We have got the faculty of theology in Benares and Aligarh Universities. I would therefore urge that study of comparative religion should be included as a faculty in the Madras University also. We shall be able to find very learned and eminent lecturers on Christian, Hindu and Muhammadan theologies.”

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“I do not think that the argument that teachers are available to teach this subject is pertinent to this amendment.”

Mr. J. A. SALDANHA :—“I have got the University calendar with me and it contains a faculty for commerce. But we have no commercial colleges.”

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“I do not think the hon. Member will be in order in referring to those points now.”

Mr. J. A. SALDANHA :—“Sir, you asked me a question and I wanted to answer it, by saying that the problem of finding teachers is an important one and so far as the faculty of comparative religions is concerned we will have no difficulty in finding suitable teachers.”

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“The hon. Member will be quite in order as long as he refers to the necessity of establishing a faculty for the study of comparative religion. He will not be in order if he develops his argument regarding the availability of teachers and how they can be secured.”

* Mr. J. A. SALDANHA :—“Now, I shall take up veterinary science, which is of the highest importance to this Presidency. We have got a Veterinary college. It has not been raised to the status of a university college nor is it affiliated to the University. That can be easily done if Government makes up its mind to raise the standard in that college. If they do so, it would be much more useful to the public. In the report of the Royal Commission on Agriculture several appointments in the Veterinary department have been proposed by that Commission. The argument which the Government advanced the other day with regard to the preparation of proper serum in this Presidency was that they were not able to find a sufficient number of trained men.

* CLAUSE 24.—In line 5, after the word ‘Arts’ insert the words ‘comparative religion, veterinary science and technology’.

[Mr. J. A. Saldanha]

[29th November 1928]

If the Government raises the standard of this Veterinary college and our University also includes Veterinary science as one of the faculties, I am sure we will be able to get a number of trained men. Sir, this is the reason why I want that veterinary science should be introduced as a faculty, feeling as I do the great needs of the agricultural population of this Presidency.

"Thirdly, I wish to deal with Technology. I think that subject has been included as a faculty in the curriculum of the Andhra University. It was only the other day, as a result of my amendment, that Technology was included as one of the faculties in the Annamalai University Bill. Technology is a very wide subject. Technology is the science of industrial arts and the cultivation of this science is of the highest importance to this Presidency. It is true we have not got any big college. We have got only a trade school and a few industrial schools. That is the reason why I would insist that such a faculty should find a place in our University Calendar because the Government have been very indifferent as to the establishment of technological colleges. We send our boys to the Victoria Technical College at Bombay. Even that is a third-class institution when compared with other similar institutions in Europe and Japan. Now this Presidency with a population of 42 millions should have more number of schools of arts and technological schools and a college. I am sure the hon. the Minister feels there is a great necessity for the development of industries in this Province, but whenever we ask him to adopt a more liberal policy with regard to the departments entrusted to his charge, he asks 'Where is money.' If we in this Council now decide that we ought to have a faculty in Technology, I think the Government will be bound to provide the necessary facilities for the establishment of a technological college."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"I do not think the hon. Member will be in order if he develops his argument in that way. He will be quite in order if he adduces arguments for the inclusion of Technology as one of the faculties in the curriculum of the University."

* Mr. J. A. SALDANHA :—"I was led into that line of thought when you, Sir, put the question to me about the availability of teachers."

Mr. DANIEL THOMAS :—"I beg to second the motion of my hon. Friend Mr. Saldanha. It appears to me that the subject of comparative religion is one of primary importance and at the same time of fascinating interest to all Indians. Our country of India possesses peculiar facilities and peculiar advantages for the study of comparative religion which I believe no other country in the world possesses at this time. Within the four limits of our country we can find religions living, vital religions which influence the every day life and thought of our people, from small stages, from comparatively primitive and early stages of religions to the most advanced and highest forms. I also believe that the Indian, by temperament, by natural gifts is peculiarly fitted to pursue studies in comparative religion. The history of the country has been rich in studies of religions. The history of the country shows us how the great figures, the great geniuses have played their part in the matter of religion at every stage. I believe by tradition, by inheritance and at the same time by temperamental gifts and training the Indian is peculiarly gifted, perhaps above most of the people of the world, to continue studies in comparative religion. I will therefore consider that no University in India will be complete without the foundation for a study of comparative religion. It

29th November 1928]

[Mr. Daniel Thomas]

is regrettable to note that even in this field of comparative religion for which the country affords so many facilities and for which the people are so peculiarly fitted.

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"May I know what the paper is that the hon. Member Mr. Tulasiram is reading?"

* Mr. L. K. TULASIRAM:—"I am reading a paper entitled 'Universities and Industries'."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"Is it for quoting on this subject?"

Mr. L. K. TULASIRAM:—"Yes, for quoting."

Mr. DANIEL THOMAS:—"As I said, it is a matter for regret that in our country of India in a subject like comparative religion we have to take our lead from Westerners who have made it a point to take special interest in the religions of our land and to throw further light in expounding the principles of those religions. I believe time is ripe when reform ought to be effected in our education and in our universities and a chair should be found for the study of comparative religion. That will enable people to make researches and carry on deep studies in our religions thus tending to greater usefulness of the country in future. I have great pleasure in seconding the motion of my hon. Friend."

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"I can quite see the motive that impelled my hon. Friend Mr. Saldanha to move this amendment. As a matter of fact in the Select Committee the words 'Commerce, Oriental learning, fine arts' were put in by his persuasive eloquence. His eloquence was not quite enough to persuade the Select Committee to agree for the inclusion of the third subject, viz., comparative religion. If my hon. Friend on the other side will read the clause he will find it stated thus: 'The University shall include faculties of arts, science, law, medicine, engineering, teaching, agriculture, commerce, oriental learning, fine arts and such other faculties as may be prescribed by the statutes.' So that the University is not precluded from having these faculties if they find competent men to form these faculties. As it is, there is a great deal of difficulty to find men to serve on the faculties already there. But there is the addition of the faculties of Oriental learning, fine arts and commerce. For Oriental learning it is not difficult to find men; but for fine arts and commerce it is difficult to find men to serve on these faculties. If Mr. Saldanha's amendment is accepted the section will read: 'The University shall include faculties of arts, etc.' This means that the University will be compelled to have these faculties. What will happen if they are compelled to include these faculties statutorily and legally? They will find it difficult to find men who know anything about these subjects which Mr. Saldanha has very much at heart. I would ask him to kindly withdraw his amendment, because we have already accepted that technology should be one of the subjects of study in the University on a motion of my hon. Friend Mr. Gopa'a Menon."

12-30
p.m.

* Mr. L. K. TULASIRAM:—"Sir, I find that our Madras University has been producing graduates cultured in literature and classics alone; but we have not been giving any attention to the development of industries or technology. I here thank the hon. the President for his care in finding out

[Mr. L. K. Tulasiram]

[29th November 1928]

what newspaper I was reading; I was only preparing to give a quotation in the Council. There are those who believe that a University degree is of no help but rather a handicap in business career. There is a lot of unemployment in the country and all the same our University is only a University producing quill-drivers, people who seek employment only in the public services. If you want to relieve this unemployment you will have to make arrangements to give the students of the University a training for a profitable career. You may have Oriental learning and all other kinds of learning together; but without an industrial bias our Universities will be of no use and they will only tend to produce discontent and extremism in the country. I beg of the hon. Minister to at least incorporate technology, which I know he has been kind enough to incorporate in the Annamalai University Bill. If I am permitted to do so, I should like to move that the words 'comparative religion and veterinary science' may be omitted in Mr. Saldanha's amendment and the word, 'technology' alone retained."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"The hon. Member may move his amendment as an amendment to Mr. Saldanha's amendment."

* Mr. L. K. TULASIRAM:—"Sir, I beg to move that the words 'comparative religion, veterinary science and' be omitted from Mr. Saldanha's amendment."

Mr. ABDUL HAMID KHAN:—"I second it."

Mr. J. A. SALDHANA:—"I agree to that."

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"Mr. President, I have already mentioned that there would be difficulty in giving effect to the object of the mover of this amendment. It is more easy to get men for the faculty of veterinary science which is sought to be omitted here; it is not easy to get men for the faculty of technology, because there are no technological institutes in this Presidency. Here, I may say for the information of my hon. Friends Mr. Saldanha and Mr. Tulasiram that it is one of the intentions of the Government to convert the Engineering College into a Technological Institute. The scheme will not be ready for the budget of the coming year, since no proposals have been received to that effect. One of the things that I took up was to ask the Director of Public Instruction to submit proposals for this scheme. When that is an accomplished fact then there will certainly be men who would be competent to serve on the faculty of technology in the University. But till that time comes, no purpose will be served by compelling the University to have a faculty of technology without having either the staff or the equipment for a technological institute in the province. I hope my hon. friend Mr. Saldanha will withdraw his amendment."

The amendment that the words 'comparative religion, veterinary science and' be omitted from Mr. Saldanha's amendment was put to the House and passed. The amendment that after the word, 'Arts' the word 'Technology' be inserted was put to the House and declared carried. A poll was demanded by the hon. Minister.

After the hon. President had begun reading the question to the House the hon. Member Dr. Mallayya entered the House and took his seat.

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"When did the hon. Member enter the Chamber?"

29th November 1928]

Dr. B. S. MALLAYYA.—“Just now, Sir.” (Laughter.)

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—“The hon. Member will please remain outside until this division is over.”

The House then divided thus :

Ayes.

- | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Mr. S. Satyamurti. | 15. Mr. Mothay. Narayana Rao. |
| 2. " C. V. Venkataramana Ayyangar. | 16. " A. Patasurama Rao. |
| 3. " T. Adinarayana Chettiyar. | 17. " C. Ramasomayajulu. |
| 4. " P. Anjaneyulu. | 18. " Basheer Ahmad Sayeed Sahib. |
| 5. " P. C. Venkatapathi Raju. | 19. " P. Bhaktavatsulu Nayudu. |
| 6. " J. A. Sajdanha. | 20. Sriman. Biswanath Das Mahasayo. |
| 7. " Abdul Hamid Khan Sahib. | 21. Mr. A. Kaleswara Rao. |
| 8. " C. S. Govindaraja Mudaliyar. | 22. " R. Srinivasa Ayyangar. |
| 9. " G. Hariaravottama Rao. | 23. " K. Koti Reddi. |
| 10. " C. N. Muthuranga Mudaliyar. | 24. " L. K. Tulasiram. |
| 11. " K. V. R. Swami. | 25. Diwan Bahadur R. N. Arogyaswami |
| 12. " K. P. V. S. Muhammad Meera | Mudaliyar. |
| 13. " Ravuttar. | 26. Mr. Ramanath Goenka. |
| 14. " D. Narayana Raju. | 27. " T. C. Srinivasa Ayyangar. |
| 15. " K. Uppi Sahib. | 28. " C. Gopala Menon. |

Noes.

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. The hon. Sir Norman Macjoribanks. | 27. Mr. F. E. Corley. |
| 2. " Khan Bahadur Sir Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadar. | 28. " J. Kuppuswami. |
| 3. " Mr. T. E. Moir. | 29. Subadar-Major S. A. Nanjappa Bahadur. |
| 4. " Diwan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nayar. | 30. Mr. Al. Ar. Narayanan Chettiyar. |
| 5. " Mr. M. R. Seturathnam Ayyar. | 31. " K. Krishnan. |
| 6. " S. Muthiah Mudaliyar. | 32. " M. V. Gargadhara Siva. |
| 7. " Dr. P. Subbarayan. | 33. Rao Sahib L. C. Guruswami. |
| 8. Diwan Bahadur P. Kesava Pillai. | 34. Mr. V. I. Muniswami Pillai. |
| 9. Rao Bahadur C. V. Anantakrishna Ayyar. | 35. " W. P. A. Soundara Pandia Nadar. |
| 10. Khan Bahadur Muhammad Bazl-ul-lah Sahib Bahadar. | 36. " S. Subrahmanya Moopanam. |
| 11. Mr. H. A. Watson. | 37. " Daniel Thomas. |
| 12. " C. A. Souter. | 38. " S. V. Vanavundaiya Gounder. |
| 13. " S. H. Slater. | 39. " S. Venkiah. |
| 14. " A. McG. C. Tampoe. | 40. Rai Sahib M. Hampayya. |
| 15. " C. W. E. Cotton. | 41. The Zamindar of Singampatti. |
| 16. " V. Ch. John. | 42. Rao Sahib R. Srinivasan. |
| 17. " M. A. Manikkavelu Nayakar. | 43. Mr. R. J. C. Robertson. |
| 18. " Syed Tajudin Sahib. | 44. Rajkumar S. N. Durai Raja. |
| 19. " W. E. Smith. | 45. The Zamindar of Kallikota. |
| 20. " H. B. Ari Gowder. | 46. Mr. K. Ramachandra Padayachi. |
| 21. " A. B. Shetty. | 47. " G. R. Premayya. |
| 22. " J. Bheemayya. | 48. " A. S. Sahajanantha Swami. |
| 23. " R. Foulkes. | 49. " V. Ramji Rao. |
| 24. " P. J. Guanavaram Pillai. | 50. The Raja of Panagal. |
| 25. " Mahmud Shamnad Sahib. | 51. Mr. P. T. Rajan. |
| 26. " Muppil Nayar of Kavalappara. | 52. " Khadia Mohidin Sahib. |
| | 53. " K. Sarabha Reddi. |
| | 54. " A. V. Bhanaji Rao. |

Ayes 28. Noes 54.

The amendment was lost.

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—“Mr. President, I move—
that in line 8, for the words ‘The constitution’ the words, ‘Otherwise the constitution’ be substituted.

“In the previous sentence it is stated that each faculty shall comprise such departments of teaching as may be prescribed by the ordinances. The number and nature of the faculties are described there and it is desirable to prefix the word ‘Otherwise’ in this place.”

[29th November 1928]

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—"I second it."

The amendment was put to the House and carried.

Clause 24 as amended was put to the House, passed and added to the Bill.

Clauses 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29.

Clauses 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29 were put to the House one by one, passed and added to the Bill.

Clause 30.

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"Mr. President, I move—

that in lines 6 and 7, the words 'constituent colleges and' be omitted; and in lines 7 and 8 the words 'and the conditions of affiliation of colleges to the University' be omitted.

"This is because the conditions of recognition of constituent colleges, of affiliation with the University of Affiliated Colleges, etc., are provided for in clause 28 (c). Therefore it would be better to omit these words from this clause."

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—"I second it."

The amendment was put to the House and passed.

* Mr. W. E. SMITH :—"Mr. President, Sir, may I move an amendment to omit the word 'colleges'?"

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"Will the hon. Member please put his amendment in writing and send it to me?"

12-45 p.m. * Mr. W. E. SMITH :—"Sir, I beg to move that the word 'colleges' may be omitted."

The hon. Mr. M. R. SETURATNAM AYYAR :—"I beg to second the amendment."

* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—"Sir, I am afraid it is not easy to follow the effect of the amendment of the expert Member. As the section stands in the amending Bill as reported by the Select Committee the amendment of the hon. the Minister suggests that you should take out of the scope of ordinances the conditions of recognition of constituent colleges as well as the conditions of affiliation of colleges, on the ground that they are vested in the Senate in consultation with the Academic Council and so, would form rightly the subject-matter of statutes. That would be achieved by the carrying, by this House, of the hon. the Minister's amendment. I wish to know, Sir, if the amendment wants to omit the word 'and' also . . ."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"I am not able to understand the amendment clearly. Will the hon. Member read the clause as it would read if his amendment is carried?"

* Mr. W. E. SMITH :—"If my amendment is carried, the clause would read as follows: 'the conditions of recognition of constituent hostels'."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"The proper course for Mr. Smith is to withdraw the present amendment and then propose a new amendment."

29th November 1928].

* Mr. W. E. SMITH :—"I beg to withdraw the amendment."

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"I think the hon. Member will do well to propose the omission of the words 'constituent' in the amendment of the hon. the Chief Minister. Does he agree to it?"

* Mr. W. E. SMITH :—"I beg to move that the word 'constituent' be omitted."

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—"I second it."

* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—"With all respect, Sir, this makes nonsense, because there are colleges of two kinds under the scheme of this Act; the colleges in Madras which are called constituent colleges have got to be recognized; then there are colleges in the mufassal which are called affiliated colleges. According to this amendment we are going to say that the conditions of recognition of constituent colleges should apply to affiliated colleges also. Do you want these conditions to be applied to affiliated colleges? I do not see why you should omit the word 'constituent', because recognition by the very nature of the definition of the word in the Act refers only to constituent colleges."

"With all respect, may I remind you of your ruling on an earlier amendment of mine that we are not at the drafting stage now? I consider the amendment of the hon. Minister is all right and any attempt to interfere with it now will land us in difficulties. I therefore suggest to my hon. Friend, the expert Member, to withdraw his amendment and allow the amendment of the hon. Minister to go forward. If there are drafting defects, they can be remedied at a later stage—the third reading of this Bill. The reason given by the hon. the Minister is sound and satisfactory. These are matters governed by statutes of the University; we want them to be outside the scope of the ordinances. Let us leave things as they are, and not interfere with them at the last moment."

* Mr. W. E. SMITH :—"I beg to withdraw the amendment."

The amendment was, by leave, withdrawn.

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"The question is 'in lines 6 and 7, omit the words 'constituent colleges and' and in lines 7 and 8, omit the words, 'and the conditions of affiliation of colleges to the University.'"

The amendment was put and carried.

Clause 30 as amended was put, passed and added to the Bill.

Clause 31.

Clause 31 was put, passed and added to the Bill.

New Clause 31-A.

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move—

'Insert the following as a new clause 31-A :—

"31-A. In section 35 of the said Act—

(i) in sub-section (2) for the word 'Senate', the word 'Syndicate' shall be substituted;

(ii) in sub-section (3) the words 'or hostel' in the two places where they occur shall be omitted; and

[Dr. P. Subbarayan] * [29th November 1928]

(iii) *after sub-section (3) the following sub-section shall be inserted as sub-section (4), namely—*

“(4) *The Syndicate shall have power to suspend or withdraw the recognition of any hostel which may not be conducted in accordance with the conditions prescribed, provided that no such action shall be taken without affording the management of such hostel an opportunity of making such representation as it may deem fit.*”

“It is proposed that the word ‘Syndicate’ shall be substituted for the word ‘Senate’ because I feel that the Syndicate will be a better authority to provide for the recognition and management of hostels. That is the reason why the word ‘Senate’ is omitted and the word ‘Syndicate’ is substituted. Therefore this new clause 31-A is proposed to be added.”

The hon. Mr. S. MUTHIAH MUDALIYAR :—“I second it.”

* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—“Sir, I hope you will be pleased to put these clauses one by one to the vote of the House. I have no objection to clauses (ii) and (iii), speaking for myself. Clause (i) wants to substitute the Syndicate for the Senate. On the merits of the question I do not propose to address the House. But the hon. the Minister will see that in section 35 of the Act these words occur, colleges and hostels. Then those maintained by the University shall be such as will be recognized by the Senate on such general or special conditions as may be prescribed. That is a power vested in the Senate under section 16 of the original Act as amended by clause 17 of the Bill under discussion. In clause 17 you will find sub-clause (5), ‘to institute and maintain constituent colleges, to prescribe in consultation with the Academic Council the conditions of recognition as constituent colleges of colleges not maintained by the University.’ It is the power of the Senate to prescribe, in consultation with the Academic Council, the conditions of recognition of constituent colleges as constituent colleges if they are not maintained by the University. The Senate prescribes these conditions. The original Act, as it stood, left it to the Senate to recognize colleges and hostels other than those maintained by the University. Even under the scheme of the Bill, Sir, this right is maintained, as I have said already, by the Senate. No doubt, the new Bill gives the power, under general prescription of conditions by the Senate, to the Syndicate to recognize colleges within the limits of the University and not maintained by the University as constituent colleges. I presume my hon. Friend wants that in order to carry out that section of the Bill, it should be transferred from the Senate to the Syndicate. But although this new power is given by this House to the Syndicate, I would prefer that the larger body called the Senate should have the power so far as the purpose of this section, the admission and residence of students, is concerned. In this new matter which deals with the rather important question of admission and residence of students I would suggest that, where you want every student of the University shall reside in a hostel and if so in which hostel, that power may be left exactly as it is in the original Act in the Senate and not transferred to the Syndicate. I commend this point to the attention of the hon. Minister and the House.”

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—“Sir, I have no objection to withdraw my amendment with regard to clause (i). Clauses (ii) and (iii) may be re-numbered as (i) and (ii). I move this amendment with your permission.”

29th November 1928].

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" I do not think the mover of a motion has himself got the right of amending it."

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR :—" Sir, I move—

'that sub-clause (i) be omitted and that sub-clauses (ii) and (iii) be re-numbered as (i) and (ii)'. "

Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO :—" I second it."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" The question is 'to omit sub-clause (i)' and re-number 'sub-clauses (ii) and (iii) as (i) and (ii)'. "

The amendment was put and carried.

The original amendment as further amended was put to the House and carried.

Clauses 32 to 34.

Clauses 32 to 34 were put one by one, passed and added to the Bill.

Clause 35.

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—" Mr. President, Sir, I move—

In line 4, after the word sum the words of at least two lakhs of rupees be inserted.

* "My hon. Friend, Sir Parasurama Patro, in his dissenting minute in the second paragraph said that the University should have a statutory grant. Even before my hon. Friend suggested that the Vice-Chancellor, the Finance Member and myself had a meeting at which it was settled that the University should receive a block grant of Rs. 2,30,000 for the next three years which may be subject to revision after the three years' period is over. But after consultation with my hon. Friend, the Finance Member, I felt that it would be better to give the University at least a sum of Rs. 2 lakhs as a statutory grant and leave the other Rs. 30,000 as a block grant which may be subject to revision at the end of three years. And I am glad to say my hon. Friend the Finance Member has consented to this course being taken, subject to the vote of the House. That is the reason why this amendment is moved, and I hope the House will have no objection to accept this amendment." 1 p.m.

The hon. Mr. M. R. SETURATNAM AYYAR :—" I second it."

The hon. the PRESIDENT :—" The question is :

'In line 4 after the word "sum" insert the words "of at least two lakhs of rupees"'. "

The motion was put to the House and carried.

Clause 35 as amended was then put, passed and added to the Bill.

Clause 36.

Clause 36 was then put, passed and added to the Bill.

Clauses 37 to 40.

Clauses 37, 38, 39 and 40 were then consecutively put to the House, passed and added to the Bill.

[29th November 1928]

Clause 19.

* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—"I have given notice of an amendment, Sir, which I beg the leave of the House to read :

'Insert the following as sub-clause (a) and re-letter the existing sub-clauses (a) and (b) of the Bill as sub-clauses (b) and (c) :—

'Between the figure "18" and the words "The Syndicate" insert the letter (a)."

"The first portion is only an arrangement of the items of the clause. The second merely rearranges the section.

'In sub-clause (b) as relettered, omit item (i) and substitute the following in its stead :—

*"In clause (2) for the word 'three' substitute the word 'six' and at the end of clause (2), add the following words :—
'of whom three at least shall be teachers of affiliated colleges'."*

"Hon. Members will notice that these are amendments to clause 19 of the Bill as reported by the Select Committee and also to the amendment given notice of by my hon. friend the Minister for Education. My amendments simply propose to the House to omit item (i), that is to say, the portion which seeks to qualify the representation of the Senate on the Syndicate by saying that 'three at least shall be teachers of affiliated colleges.'"

"Then with regard to item (ii). . ."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"My difficulty is how far this contravenes the vote of the House already given on this clause."

* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—"I will make my submission on that point, Sir. The original amendment which was put to the House. . . ."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"Items 41 and 44 on the list of amendments are practically the same as those now moved."

* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—"My original amendment was to affect clause (3) by substituting the words 'Academic Council' for the words 'Council of Affiliated Colleges', and to add at the end the words 'of whom three shall be teachers of affiliated colleges.' That affected the proposed representation under clause (3) of the original Act which the Bill sought to amend. We find that the original Act itself provides for the representation of the Council of Affiliated Colleges also. I sought to affect that clause by increasing the number to six and then providing for the reserved representation of three teachers of affiliated colleges. . . ."

The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"The effect is more or less the same. The House cannot revise its own vote at the same stage. This amendment is materially the same. The motion need not be identical, but in effect it is the same."

Clause 19 (as amended) was then put to the House, passed and added to the Bill.

Clause 22—class II—Other Members.

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"I beg to move my amendment, Sir, which is to re-number item (6) as item (5). Mr. President, I only want to

29th November 1928]

[Dr. P. Subbarayan]

submit that this would not be a contravention of any previous decision of the House. This only makes the clause run properly. That is the reason why I move it."

The hon. Mr. M. R. SETURATNAM AYYAR:—"I second it."

The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"The question is: 'renumber item (6) as item (5).'"

The amendment was put to the House and carried.

Clause 22 as amended was then put to the House, passed and added to the Bill.

THE SCHEDULE.

Rule 1.

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI:—"Sir, I move—

'That in lines 1 to 3, for the words "being later than . . . Act", the words "later than the 31st March 1930" be substituted.'

"If the amendment is made, the rule will then read thus—

'The Local Government shall fix a date, not later than the 31st March 1930 on which the term of office of members of the Senate, Syndicate and Academic Council holding office at the commencement of this Act shall expire.'

"That is intended, Sir, merely to allow the normal term of the present members of these various bodies to expire, after which the new Act may come into force. There are no such changes in this Bill as necessitate the termination in the middle of the term of office of these various members. That is the reason, Sir, why I beg leave to move this amendment."

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR:—"I second it, Sir."

*The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"The question is: In lines 1 to 3, for the words 'being later than . . . Act' substitute the words 'later than the 31st March 1930'."

*The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"I have no objection to accept this amendment, Sir."

The amendment was put to the House and carried.

Rule 2—Proviso.

*The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN:—"Sir, I move—

'in line 2, for the word "section", substitute the word "rule".'

"It is only a verbal amendment, Sir, and I move it."

The hon. Mr. M. R. SETURATNAM AYYAR:—"I second it."

*The hon. the PRESIDENT:—"The question is: In line 2, for the word 'section' substitute the word 'rule'."

The amendment was put to the House and carried.

*Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR:—"Sir, I formally move that the following be added at the end as a new proviso—

'Provided however that the Syndicate may decide to have no election in the case of vacancies that may last for less than three months.'

[Mr. C. V. Venkataramana Ayyangar] [20th November 1928]

"This is only an enabling section, and in the case of one or two vacancies, it will be unnecessary because naturally we will require some time for issuing the rules, etc. I am only making this amendment to give some power to the Syndicate not to go on with the election if, in their opinion, it is unnecessary as it will clearly be in some instances."

* Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—"I second it."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"The question is to add a new proviso as in the agenda."

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"I have no objection to accept this amendment, Sir."

The amendment was put to the House and carried.

Rule 4.

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"Sir, I move—

'that in line 4, for the word and figure "clause (1)" the word and figure "clause (2)" be substituted'.

"This is only a mistake that was made in printing, and so I move that this amendment be made."

The hon. Mr. M. R. SETURATNAM AYYAR :—"I second it."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"The question is: In line 4, *for* the word and figure 'clause (1)', *substitute* the word and figure 'clause (2)'."

The amendment was put to the House and carried.

The schedule as amended was then put to the House, passed and added to the Bill.

Preamble.

The preamble was put to the House, passed and added to the Bill.

III

RESOLUTIONS ON MATTERS OF GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"The Council will now take up Resolutions."

* The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"The hon. the Revenue Member to whose portfolio Resolution No. 802 relates, is not here, Sir, and with your permission I will call him."

Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR :—"I shall just move my resolution, Sir, and then we may adjourn for lunch."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"What is the use of moving it when the hon. the Revenue Member is not here?"

"The Council will now adjourn and re-assemble at 2-30 p.m."